

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. F		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/508,931 09/23/2004		09/23/2004	Michael Oetliker	04-511	9873	
34704	7590 12/14/2005			EXAMINER		
BACHMAI 900 CHAPE		OINTE, P.C.	CHU, CHRIS H			
SUITE 1201		1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW HAVE	N, CT (06510	2874	2874		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	Application No.	Applic	ant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/508,931	OETLI	OETLIKER, MICHAEL				
			xaminer	Art Un	Art Unit				
			Chris H. Chu	2874					
The I	MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appea	rs on the cover shee	t with the correspo	ndence ad	dress			
WHICHEVE - Extensions of t after SIX (6) M - If NO period fo - Failure to reply Any reply recei	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F R IS LONGER, FROM THE N ime may be available under the provisions ONTHS from the mailing date of this com- r reply is specified above, the maximum s within the set or extended period for reply ved by the Office later than three months term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMU a). In no event, however, ma apply and will expire SIX (6) use the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing the ABANDONED (35 U.S.)	g date of this co				
Status									
1) Respo	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on .							
·			ction is non-final.						
3) Since	,—								
closed	in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex</i> _l	parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G.	213.				
Disposition of (Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-23</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(Claim(s) <u>12-14, 16-18, 21-23</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(Claim(s) 15,19 and 20 is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	lection requirement.						
Application Pa	pers								
9)∐ The sp	ecification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.							
10)⊠ The dra	awing(s) filed on <u>23 Septemb</u>	<i>er 2004</i> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or	b) ☐ objected to b	y the Exan	niner.			
Applica	ant may not request that any obje	ection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFF	₹ 1.85(a).				
Replac	ement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	is required if the drav	ving(s) is objected to	. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11) <u></u> The oa	th or declaration is objected t	o by the Exar	niner. Note the attac	ched Office Action	or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 3	85 U.S.C. § 119								
a)⊠ All	wledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of:		•	C. § 119(a)-(d) or	(f).				
	Certified copies of the priority			in Amplication No					
	Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies					Stage			
	application from the Internation				3 Ivalional	Clage			
	attached detailed Office action	•		not received.					
			·						
Attachment(s)									
	erences Cited (PTO-892)			iew Summary (PTO-41					
	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o			No(s)/Mail Date		D-152)			
	Mail Date <u>12/04</u> .		· -						

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The prior art documents submitted by applicant in the Informational Disclosure Statement filed on December 27, 2004 have all been considered and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).

Drawings

Three (3) sheets for formal drawings were filed September 23, 2004 and have been accepted by the Examiner.

Specification

Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 12, 13, 18, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Imamura (US 2002/0015565).

Regarding claim 12, Imamura discloses a home and building information system comprising at least one electrical power network (electrical distribution line 2 in Fig. 1) connected to an electrical power supply line (power cable 3 in Fig. 1) and at least one local optical data network (communication network 1 in Fig. 1) for information exchange and the supply, control and monitoring of peripherals and terminals, and further comprising electrical conductors (103 in Fig. 8a) of the at least one electrical power network and at least one optical fiber (102 in Fig. 8a) of an optical passive data network being arranged running parallel and integrated in all of a plurality of components, and said components comprising firstly fixed-wired installation lines (see Fig. 7) with sockets (104 in Fig. 8a) and secondly variable installations with flexible network cables and plugs (101 in Fig. 8a).

Regarding claim 13, Imamura discloses a home and building information system wherein the at least one optical fiber of the optical data network is connected to at least one data supply line by way of secured, disconnectable devices (see Figs. 4a-4d) with electrical power pack and optical interface in paragraph 153.

Regarding claim 18, Imamura discloses a home and building information system further comprising at least one data supply line comprising at least one of a telephone, television and internet line in paragraph 136.

Regarding claim 21, Imamura discloses a process for use of an information system wherein it is used with different standards simultaneously in paragraph 172.

Art Unit: 2874

Regarding claim 22, Imamura discloses a process for use of an information system wherein the standards and protocols of information transmission are determined by devices connected to the sockets in paragraphs 173 and 174.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imamura (US 2002/0015565) in view of Mahony (6,539,147).

Regarding claim 14, Imamura teaches a home and building information system wherein integrated in standard sockets, plugs, multiple plugs and connector strips is at least one optical fiber with corresponding optocouplings in Figs. 8a-8c and 9a-9b. Imamura does not specifically state the sockets and plugs to have Live, Neutral and Earth pins, though it is commonly known in the art that sockets and plugs have these pins. Imamura does not specifically disclose the fibers to have beam splitters in the connector strips in Figs. 9a-9b. Mahony teaches splitters used with optical fibers in column 3, lines 25-30. Since both inventions relate to optical networks, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the splitters as disclosed by Mahony in the network disclosed by Imamura for the

Art Unit: 2874

purpose of allowing a fiber optic strand to branch into multiple strands, which widens the coverage area of a network.

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imamura (US 2002/0015565) in view of Chen et al. (US 2002/0176567).

Regarding claim 16, Imamura teaches the claimed invention and also the optical data network being connected to an external information supply line (4 in Fig. 1) by way of an optical interface connected to a socket in Fig. 6. Imamura does not teach the optical data network connected to at least one transceiver with a power pack and an optical interface or at least one modem. Chen et al. teaches a data network connected to a modem (ISP access device in Fig. 1). Since both inventions relate to home data networks, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to connect the home data network as disclosed by Imamura to a modem as disclosed by Chen et al. for the purpose of providing Internet service to the devices in the home data network.

Regarding claim 17, Imamura teaches the claimed invention except for a transceiver connected to a socket which supplies several local data networks. Chen et al. teaches a transceiver which supplies several local data networks (computing devices in Fig. 1A and paragraph 29) in paragraph 32 and Figs. 1A-1B. Since both inventions relate to home data networks, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to connect the home data network as disclosed by Imamura to a transceiver as disclosed by Chen et al. for the purpose of supporting several local data networks.

Art Unit: 2874

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imamura (US 2002/0015565).

Regarding claim 23, Imamura teaches the claimed invention but does not specifically state that devices with high speed transmission and devices with lower transmission rates are operated on separate wavelengths for transmission and reception. However, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to have the devices operating on separate wavelengths in order that the signals for the devices would not interfere with each other.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15 and 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art cited on attached form PTO-892 is the most relevant prior art known, however, the invention of these claims distinguishes over the prior art of record because none of the references either alone or in combination disclose or render obvious what is defined in these claims.

Regarding claim 15, the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a home and building information system wherein all sockets of the fixed-wired installation lines are connected by way of their own optical line to a central system which allows

elimination or a restricted number of beam splitters in fixed laid installations and of multiple plugs in variable installations.

Regarding claims 19-20, the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a home and building information system wherein the at least one optical fiber is taken from a flexible network cable and connected externally to an optical interface of a peripheral or terminal not supplied by the at least one electrical power network or without corresponding equipment. In the Imamura reference, the optical fiber and the electrical plugs are disclosed as being integrated into one cable and there is no reason or motivation to separate the optical fiber and connect it to a terminal not supplied by the electrical power network. Consequently, there is also no reason or motivation to take the optical fiber from the network cable and connect it to a peripheral or terminal without corresponding equipment.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chris H. Chu whose telephone number is 571-272-8655. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on 571-272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,931

Art Unit: 2874

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general or clerical nature should be directed to the Technology Center 2800 receptionist at telephone number (571) 272-1562.

Chris H. Chu Patent Examiner December 9, 2005

Chi Ch

Michelle CONNELLY CUSHWA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
12/12/05